

---

**From:** Wilson, Reid [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=6B0D6961BBCF47A994D6721B4B4EC148-RWILSON13]  
**Sent:** 3/11/2018 6:21:42 PM  
**To:** Tarr, Jeremy M [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=98859532088e4437968231eb6fef6b70-jmtarr1]  
**Subject:** Fwd: [External] Fwd: NCEL First in Science: New Study Finds Wood to be Poor Alternative to Coal

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**Resent-From:** <SRS0=a1cQ=GB=aol.com=stanbackf@securence.com>  
**From:** Fred Stanback <stanbackf@aol.com>  
**Date:** March 11, 2018 at 5:17:01 PM EDT  
**To:** <info@roycooper.com>, <Ken Eudy> <bob.inglis@energyandenterprise.com>, <mjackson@nexusstrategies.com>, <castanea@bellsouth.net>, <NRDCAdams@aol.com>, <fannand@tnc.org>, <harvardgayers@gmail.com>, <rbarcott@doubletimecapital.com>, <tbatten@charlotteobserver.com>, <mbooth@pfpi.net>, <lbrasher@bsc.edu>, <tbutler@gmavt.net>, <derbc@selcnc.org>, <normc@duke.edu>, <ann.colley@moorecap.com>, <molly.diggins@sierraclub.org>, <pduffy@whrc.org>, <tomearnhardt@nc.rr.com>, <FROELICH@MAGNET.FSU.EDU>, <fdgabel@gmail.com>, <pat.gallagher@sierraclub.org>, <andrew.george08@gmail.com>, <djgerken@selcnc.org>, <tim@ncwf.org>, <mikeg@nccoast.org>, <WGOLDER@audubon.org>, <bobbi@alumni.duke.edu>, <priceyharrison@me.com>, <bhenderson@charlotteobserver.com>, <hugh\_irwin@twis.org>, <kkimmell@ucsusa.org>, <skleberg@earthlink.net>, <fkoster234@aol.com>, <fred@ecostewards.org>, <annie.leonard@greenpeace.org>, <mleonard@wcsr.com>, <jayerskine01@gmail.com>, <julie@mountaintrue.org>, <chuck.mcgrady@ncleg.net>, <TOM.MCINNIS@ncleg.net>, <bill@350.org>, <rmiddleton@selcva.org>, <bmsil1@yahoo.com>, <bruce.nilles@sierraclub.org>, <tvannoppen@earthjustice.org>, <mdbnowlin@gmail.com>, <dorr@oberlin.edu>, <vpatton@edf.org>, <rperkowitz@gmail.com>, <epica@foe.org>, <stuartpimm@me.com>, <robert@robertpittenger.com>, <mpostman@waterkeeper.org>, <bprater@defenders.org>, <Tim.Profeta@duke.edu>, <drader@edf.org>, <brandon.renz@mail.house.gov>, <curtr@duke.edu>, <johnr@greathornproperties.com>, <jhr@tigerfund.com>, <action@environmentnorthcarolina.org>, <schlesin@duke.edu>, <ltseydel@mindspring.com>, <davidshi51@gmail.com>, <danna@dogwoodalliance.org>, <sasmith@cleanenergy.org>, <gus@speth.com>, <jsteelman@nrdc.org>, <joshhstein@gmail.com>, <tsteyer@fahrllc.com>, <rssuh@nrdc.org>, <ron@wildlandsnetwork.org>, <htruax@edf.org>, <jeff.vincent@duke.edu>, <jwalke@nrdc.org>, <lane.wallace@gmail.com>, <jim@ncwarn.org>, <Jwear@catawba.edu>, <dwells1929@gmail.com>, <reid.wilson@ncdcr.gov>, <awood@coastalplaincg.org>, <gwoodwell@whrc.org>, <bredl@skybest.com>  
**Subject:** [External] Fwd: NCEL First in Science: New Study Finds Wood to be Poor Alternative to Coal

**CAUTION:** External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Send all suspicious email as an attachment to [Report Spam](#).

Fred Stanback  
748 Anchor Drive  
Sanibel, FL 33957

"I'd put my money on solar energy. I hope we don't have to wait till oil and coal run out before we tackle that" Thomas Edison

---

From: [jmauk@ncel.net](mailto:jmauk@ncel.net)  
To: [stanbackf@aol.com](mailto:stanbackf@aol.com)  
Sent: 3/8/2018 9:39:19 AM Eastern Standard Time  
Subject: NCEL First in Science: New Study Finds Wood to be Poor Alternative to Coal



### **First in Science: Burning Wood for Energy Will Worsen Climate Change in Coming Decades**

Many people believe that wood is better for the environment compared to coal. However, researchers have found that burning wood will only continue to worsen climate change.

**The Study:** A group of researchers studied the life cycle of wood used for energy compared to coal. This allowed for an analysis of carbon emissions from tree growth through fuel combustion.

**Results & Significance:** The researchers determined that wood does not have a smaller carbon footprint than coal. In fact, wood has a lower combustion efficiency and creates more emissions from the supply chain and processing as compared to coal. While trees can be replanted to both sequester carbon and to use for future energy, it takes 44-104 years for a forest to fully regrow, assuming it is not converted into development during that time.

## Resources

- The full article is available online through [Environmental Research Letters](#).
- Articles about the study can be found through [Anthropocene Magazine](#) and [MIT Sloan School of Management](#).
- [Contact NCEL](#) if you would like assistance with clean energy issues in your state.
- Read this story on NCEL's [website](#).

*Easily access more legislative resources at [ncel.net](#) and landmark state environmental laws at [envirolaws.org](#), a partnership between NCEL and the USC Schwarzenegger Institute.*



*Copyright © 2018 National Caucus of Environmental Legislators, All rights reserved.*

You are receiving this email because of your interest in environmental issues.

**Our mailing address is:**

National Caucus of Environmental Legislators  
1228½ 31st St. NW, Suite 110  
Washington, D.C 20007

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#)

--

**Jeff Mauk, Executive Director**

NCEL, the National Caucus of Environmental Legislators

1228½ 31st Street NW, Suite 110

Washington, D.C. 20007

e: [jmauk@ncel.net](mailto:jmauk@ncel.net) | o: 202.744.1006 | m: 202-394-8881

**More online legislative resources available at [ncel.net](http://ncel.net) and [envirolaws.org](http://envirolaws.org)**